

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/617,048	07/11/2003	Terry Van Liew	237688US0	3057	
22850	7590 04/25/2006		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			WOODWARD, ANA LUCRECIA		
1940 DUKE ALEXANDI	SIREET RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	•		1711		
				DATE MAILED: 04/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			~			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/617,048	LIEW ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
<u></u>	Ana L. Woodward	1711 _	-			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this col D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	1.1					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12/2006					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) X Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6,7 is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5, ss/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	1d 35					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		. ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.		· .			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	•		•			
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	-	d in this National S	Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	' ''	d.				
•						
Attachment(s)	о П	(DTO 440)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa		152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 6, 7, 9-13, 27-30, 33 and 34 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species or group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on March 28, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 8, 14-26, 31, 32 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6. 16,464 (Hansenne et al).

Hansenne et al disclose sunscreen compositions comprising a silicone-polyamide copolymer, reading on the presently claimed structuring polymer, an oil, reading on the presently claimed oil, and additional ingredients, embracing the presently claimed silicone elastomer component. Suitable silicone elastomers include the products sold under the names of KSG, by Shin-Etsu. Also noted is the citation of the publication to Hybrid-silicone powders (front page). The composition can be in the form of a gel or stick.

In essence, the disclosure of the reference differs from the present claims in not expressly exemplifying the use of the optional silicone elastomer component, which reads on the presently claimed silicone elastomer. It is maintained, however, that it would have been obvious to one

Application/Control Number: 10/617,048

Art Unit: 1711

having ordinary skill in the art to have additionally incorporated a silicone elastomer falling within the scope of the present claims for its attendant function since said embodiment is clearly disclosed by patentees. Absent evidence of unusual or unexpected results, no patentability can be seen in the presently claimed subject matter.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed February 2, with respect to Barr et al and Inokuchi, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection over said prior art has been withdrawn.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana L. Woodward whose telephone number is (571) 272-1082.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1711